Resisting Political Repression

Surveillance, infiltration, harassment, media demonization, disruption, police misconduct, excessive use of force, and other repressive techniques have been used to stifle dissent in the United States since it was founded. Repression appears whenever social and political movements threaten the status quo and challenge the unequal distribution of power and wealth. Every progressive movement has faced political repression, and every progressive movement has—and will—sweep it aside. The sooner activists learn the basics... the faster political repression can be successfully countered.

Brian Glick in War at Home outlined the four main repressive techniques used during the FBI’s illegal Counterintelligence Program (COINTELPRO): Infiltration, Psychological Warfare from the Outside, Harassment Through the Legal System, and Extralegal Force and Violence. COINTELPRO officially ran from 1961 until 1975.

After activists exposed COINTELPRO and it was terminated, many of the surveillance and disruption activities previously employed by the FBI were shifted into a network of right-wing “countersubversive”

FROM THE DIRECTOR

It seems so long ago now. At 5:30 AM, in pitch dark with the temperature at zero, my friends and I waited with other huddled groups for buses to New York. It was February 15, and we were preparing to join a march with no permit in a city on edge, with an elevated terrorism alert in force. No one knew what to expect. My main goal was to express solidarity with millions of antiwar protesters and sympathizers all over the world and to demonstrate that there is also a committed antiwar movement here in the US. Five hours later as we pulled up to the Port Authority, the throngs of dissenters stretching in all directions as far as the eye could see made it obvious that we were going to make our point — big time. We never got anywhere near the rally. My group spent the afternoon on 3rd St., in the midst of a lively and diverse crowd — chanting, shouting, freezing, standing still, shuffling forward and eventually marching freely. We were proud to be part of an unprecedented worldwide call for peace.

Meanwhile PRA Researcher Pam Chamberlain was a few streets over. "While I was standing with hundreds of thousands of others on First Avenue, Pete Seeger came on one of the big video screens and started to sing. A group of young people next to us stared at his image while Pete led us in song. 'Who's that?' one young man asked his friends. 'Oh him,' came the reply. 'That's someone from WAY, WAY back!' It's truly an intergenerational movement."

International and intergenerational solidarity also describe this year’s World Social Forum. In this issue of PRAccess, Research Director Nikhil Aziz gives us a glimpse of the huge gathering in Porto Alegre, entitled Another World Is Possible.

"Every progressive movement has faced political repression, and every progressive movement has — and will — sweep it aside," according to Senior Analyst Chip Berlet. See his article Resisting Political Repression (this page) for tips on countering a variety of techniques used to stifle dissent.

Who can predict the challenges we’ll face in the weeks and months ahead? Regardless of what happens in the short term, there’s reason to hope that the growing numbers of people throughout the world engaged in working for social and economic equality and justice will lead the way toward peace and true security. Another world is possible.

Kate Cloud

Continued on page 2
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institutions and groups in the private sector. Ross Gelbspan in Break-Ins, Death Threats and the FBI showed how clandestine right-wing groups coordinated attacks on the movement against US intervention in Central America while law enforcement and intelligence agencies looked the other way.

At the same time the FBI and other public law enforcement agencies sought to regain authority for spying on dissent by re framing it as leading to criminal activity or as a cover for terrorist violence. In Philadelphia the public and private countersubversion networks worked together. The search warrant used to justify a police raid on the headquarters for the protestors planning demonstrations against the Republican Party convention in the summer of 2000 included false allegations from the Maldon Institute, part of a right-wing intelligence network dating back to the 1960s.

Since the terror attacks on 9/11, the Bush administration has instituted a wide range of repressive measures, continuing a pattern followed by Reagan, Bush, and Clinton. The current wave of government repression has erased all of the safeguards that followed the exposure in the 1970s of the FBI COINTELPRO program, CIA covert operations, and the Watergate “Dirty Tricks” scandal.

PRA has collected much useful information in a special web collection, Security for Activists: Overcoming Repression at: www.publiceye.org/liberty/Security_for_Activists.htm
Another World IS Possible: World Social Forum III, Porto Alegre, Brazil, January 2003

I attended the third World Social Forum (WSF) in Porto Alegre, Brazil, from 22-29 January 2003 on behalf of PRA. I went as a member of the Grassroots Global Justice delegation which comprised people from across the United States representing a variety of social justice movements. A significant number were young people, many of whom were first-time travelers outside the United States.

This was also my first time to Brazil, and I was very excited to be going to the WSF after Lula's (Luis Ignacio “Lula” DaSilva) election as president of Brazil. Lula, who won an overwhelming victory in the Fall of 2002, was the Partido dos Trabalhadores (PT-Workers' Party) candidate. Additionally, Porto Alegre was ruled by the PT, and had initiated a participatory budget process where citizens get to determine budget priorities and spending.

That same sense of excitement and hope pervaded the entire country and the WSF, which drew about 100,000 people from around the world. Despite the enormous pressure such numbers must have put on the city and its residents, delegates felt very welcomed—the city's official slogan for the WSF was “Porto Alegre embraces the World.” Yet, in the midst of the post-election fervor, Brazilians and visitors alike were cautious in their hope that the PT and Lula would make good on their promises for moving Brazil forward on issues of social and economic justice. So much so, that Lula felt compelled to come to Porto Alegre before going to the World Economic Forum (WEF) in Davos, Switzerland, to explain to a crowd of 100,000 why he was going; and that he was carrying the message of the WSF to the WEF.

Of course, there were problems. Brazil’s indigenous peoples and Afro-Brazilians were disproportionately underrepresented in the process and actual events at the WSF. Panels were cancelled at the last minute (over 2,000 had been scheduled) and there were scheduling problems.

For example, Egyptian scholar Samir Amin found himself on four panels in four different roles at one time! Others pointed out that the WSF alternative was itself getting institutionalized and mainstreamed. Two panels, of the many I attended, stand out for me. The first featured Uruguayan author Eduardo Galeano and Brazilian scholar Leonardo Boff, one of the fathers of Liberation Theology. The second had Indian author Arundhati Roy, Noam Chomsky, and (via letter) Evo Morales, a peasant leader in the

Another Warning We Wish We Didn’t Have To Make

Last summer we published a special report called The Pioneer Institute, Privatizing the Common Wealth. A case study of one state-level think tank’s influence on government policy, the report examines how the libertarian free-market ideas advocated by the Pioneer Institute have taken hold in Massachusetts.

"One of the fundamental changes wrought by Pioneer and its supporters has been a massive shift of public funds from democratically accountable institutions to private, often for-profit entities such as charter schools or corporate-run health care centers," according to co-author Paul Dunphy.

Recent events are reinforcing the report’s conclusions. A recent Boston Globe (3/17/03) headline reads, “Pioneer group’s voice grows stronger.” The pull out quote: ‘Now you’re facing a budget crisis…and people are much more receptive to ideas for restructuring government.’ (Stephen Adams, Pioneer Institute CEO). The article describes “the institute’s core policies – shrinking government and applying free-market principles to what is left” as finding an especially warm welcome from Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney, "even while they are denounced as extremist by labor groups and teachers."

Wherever you are located in the US, chances are there’s a state-level think tank influencing your state government to shrink government by cutting taxes and public services. You can find out more about this growing threat to the social fabric by ordering the Pioneer Report, and by exploring the PRA web site: www.publiceye.org.
fight against water privatization and U.S. imperialism from Bolivia. There were 15-20,000 people packed inside, with thousands more crowded outside watching on TV monitors. An overwhelming number of those present were young people, mostly Brazilians and other Latin Americans who were familiar with the work of Galeano, Boff, Roy, Chomsky, and Morales! And, who were radicalized and committed to global social justice and against U.S. imperialism and corporate globalization. This was, for me, the most energizing and revitalizing aspect of the WSF.

- Nikhil Aziz

Where Does Your Money Go?

Many donors, as they are about to give to their favorite non-profit, ask themselves “Where does my money go?” When you give to PRA, you help us inform activists, media and the public of the dangers of scapegoating immigrants and government repression. But what does that really mean?

Our new Activist Resource Kit, Defending Immigrant Rights, helped people respond to the anti-immigrant assault post 9.11, and find answers and resources. CNN, looking for information on the White Order of Thule, contacted Chip Berlet for an interview airing in July. Jean Hardisty travels the country, helping progressive funders strategize ways of improving their grantmaking. You ensure that our unique analysis, honed by 21 years of experience, will continue to be heard. In short, it means our work truly wouldn’t be possible without your support.

Your tax-deductible gift, of whatever size, shows that you want to make a difference and truly value our work. Aside from the good feeling you get from supporting PRA, your donation of $50 or more gets you a subscription to The Public Eye, featuring in-depth articles, book reviews, resources and more!

If you’ve recently made a gift to PRA I want to thank you again for your generosity. If you’ve never given, or it’s been a while, I hope you’ll consider including PRA in your giving plans. Progressives across the country are counting on it!

– Allen Jackson
On the Horizon

Racism in Foreign Policy!

Our own Nikhil Aziz will author a thought-provoking piece exploring racism and U.S. foreign policy in the next issue of The Public Eye. The next issue will also feature Matthew Lyons on homeland security, and our always informative book reviews. Definitely not to be missed!

Not yet a subscriber?
Then visit our new online store at www.publiceye.org! Subscribe online, and don’t miss a single issue!

Resisting continued from page 2

The following books are a good place to start more detailed research:


- Chip Berlet

HELPING YOU CHALLENGE THREATS TO DEMOCRACY
One of the fundamental changes wrought by Pioneer and its supporters has been a massive shift of public funds from democratically accountable institutions to private, often for-profit entities such as charter schools or corporate-run health care centers.

In education alone, close to $600 million has been diverted to charter schools, which are answerable not to their community or any democratically elected body, but only to an appointed Board of Education, whose members are largely aligned with Pioneer.

Contrary to Pioneer’s claims, its initiatives have meant higher costs, less access to schools and services (charters are free to limit enrollment) and reduced public scrutiny.

The recent wave of corporate scandals emphasizes, again, the importance of public accountability, and makes it clear that Pioneer is pushing Massachusetts in the wrong direction.”

– Paul Dunphy, Co-author

Order your copy of THE PIONEER INSTITUTE Privatizing the Common Wealth

$13.85 (includes shipping and handling)

Order using your Visa / MasterCard by calling 617.666.5300 or on the web at www.publiceye.org.